IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ITED STATES OF AMERICA,	0.4200450	
Plaintiff,	8:13CR150	
vs.	DETENTION ORDER	
RA WELLING,		
Defendant.		
Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act on April 23, 2013, the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).		
 Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community. 		
contained in the Pretrial Services Repor X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: the pretrial methamphetamine (Control of the carries a minimum soft maximum of forty years (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a control of the carries a crime (c) The offense involves a control of the carries a crime (c) The offense involves a control of the carries and the carries are carried to the carries and the carries are carried to the carries and the carries are carried to the carried	the offense charged: cossession with intent to distribute ount I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) entence of five years imprisonment and a rs imprisonment. e of violence.	
(a) General Factors: The defendar may affect who affect who are the defendar and the defen	nt appears to have a mental condition which mether the defendant will appear. In that has no family ties in the area. In that has no steady employment. In that has no substantial financial resources. In the does not have any significant community of the defendant: In that has a history relating to drug abuse. In that has a history relating to alcohol abuse. In that has a significant prior criminal record. In that a prior record of failure to appear at	
	Plaintiff, vs. Page 1. Plaintiff, vs. Defendant. Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursua Act on April 23, 2013, the Court orders the to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i). Statement Of Reasons For The Detent The Court orders the defendant's detent X By a preponderance of the even conditions will reasonably assure will reasonably assure the safety Finding Of Fact The Court's findings are based on the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (a) The crime: the methamphetamine (Contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (a) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (b) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (a) The crime: the methamphetamine (Contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (b) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (c) The offense involves and the crime: the methamphetamine (Contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (b) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (b) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (b) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (c) The offense involves and the contained in the Pretrial Services Report X (b) The defendar Y (c) The Determine Y (c) The Y (c)	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	(a) Other 5	Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
	(c) Other Fa	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
		The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement
		(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
X	release are as f	nd seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment and the se and criminal history of the defendant.
Χ	(5) Rebuttable Pr	esumptions
	In determining on the following	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied ng rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	X (a) That no assure t	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably he appearance of the defendant as required and the safety her person and the community because the Court finds that
		e involves:
		(1) A crime of violence; or(2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
		imprisonment or death; or
	<u>X</u>	(3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or
		(4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
		or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
		above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above
		which is less than five years old and which was
committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably		
		the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
	of the c	ommunity because the Court finds that there is probable
	cause to X	believe: (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled
		substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		10 years or more.(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
	_	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous
		weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 23, 2013. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge